

Internal Mechanism of Corporate Governance and Firms' Profitability: A Comparative Study of Financial and Non-Financial Firms

Muhammad Kaleem Institute of Business Studies, Kohat University of Science & Technology, Kp, Pakistan.

Sammar Abbas Institute of Business Studies, Kohat University of Science & Technology, Kp, Pakistan.

Zeeshan Zaib Khattak Institute of Business Studies, Kohat University of Science & Technology, Kp, Pakistan.
Email: zeeshan_khattak@hotmail.com

Abstract

This research examines comparative effects of corporate governance internal mechanism on Profitability (ROA/ROE) in financial vs non-financial firms indexed on Pakistan Stock Exchange. The data of 296 firms (both types of firms) was taken from companies' audited accounts and various available documents of the State Bank of Pakistan for the period of 14 years (2001-2014). Panel data study is conducted as the data have the characteristics of both time series and cross-sectional. Multiple regression and fixed effect methods were employed to analyze the given dataset. Results revealed significance of both board characteristics and managerial ownership in the profitability of the selected companies.

Key Words

Board Independence,
Managerial Ownership,
Panel Data, Return on
Equity

Introduction

Corporate governance ensures the protection of investors from any decision of the management which may harm their interests. Broadly, corporate governance can be termed as the policies, procedures and practices to control and impact on the managerial decisions

Corporate governance mechanism comprises two mechanisms i.e. internal and external. First one refers to and include board characteristics and managers 'ownership, whereas external mechanisms refer to rules and regulations, other firms' ownership and industrial procedures etc. Current study is aimed to determine the effect of only internal mechanism on financial profitability of financial vs non-financial firms. There are number of studies on Pakistani firms in this regard (e.g. Javed & Iqbal, 2006; Rehman & Mangla, 2010). But, less attention is given to investigate comparative effect of corporate governance as proposed by this study.

Literature Review

An effective corporate governance mechanism is significant to financial health of the firms. In the following we present various studies to this end.

Independent Boards and Firms' Profitability

Impact of board independence on firms' Profitability has been studied variously by various studies. However, these studies are inconclusive to this end. Liu et al. (2014) revealed board independence as major to these firms' investment decisions and hence improve their Profitability. In a study of 2012 Khan and Awan found those companies with more board independence show growth in their profit through increased ROA and ROE.

Contrary to the above, some studies revealed adverse effect of board independence on firms' Profitability. However, as the independent directors decreases so the profitability increases. Bhagat & Bolton(2008)in their study of American firms found those firms which do not have long profit years are more responsive to board independence in increasing profitability but on larger scale there were no conclusive evidences of board independence and improved profitability. There are also studies which concluded that corporate governance internal mechanisms are not relevant to firms' Profitability. For instance, it was found that for Malaysian firms' independent boards are not

relevant to the financial performance (Johl et al., 2015; Sheikh & Kareem, 2015). Based on the above we assume that:

H₁: *Board independence has positive on profitability of the PSX listed companies.*

Board Size and Profitability

Board size is considered as on major determinant of a firm's profitability. A research on Islamic banks by Johl et al. (2015) concluded board sizes having positive relations to profitability. Similarly, results were stated in another study of Islamic Banks for a period of 2008 -2012, where a positive effect of board size was determined on various profitability (ROA, ROE, EPS) of these banks (Haider et al., 2015). However, there are also some studies those concluded that larger board size not necessarily effect profitability positively because larger boards are inefficient in making timely decisions (Al-Matari et al., 2012; Shakir, n.d.). It was also revealed that Malaysian firms in real estate sector usually prefer smaller board to fasten the decision making to make profit (Shakir, n.d.). In another study of Hungarian firms, it was known that due to many problems associated with larger boards these firms like to have smaller board for efficient and timely decisions. Hence, we suggest that:

H₂: *Board size has positive effect on the profitability of PSX listed companies*

Audit Committee Size and Profitability

This is argued that with more members of committee, there are fewer chances of mistakes in reporting financial results which is considered as a positive notion to the firms' profitability. For example, Al-Matari et al., (2012) revealed that with increase in the size of audit committee there is also increase in profitability (ROA) of the firms. Contrary to this Al-Mamun et al., (2014) reported opposite association among size of committee and profitability. They argued smaller committee with experts and experienced are likely to be more productive in term of profitability. Similarly, Amer et al., (2014) stated adverse relation of two variables. Some scholars argue that number of audit committee members is not much important to the financial performance of a firm (Ojeka et al., 2014). From above we propose that:

H₃: *Audit committee size has positive effect the profitability of PSX listed companies.*

CEO's Affiliation and Profitability

Research regarding effect of CEO's affiliation of firm's Profitability are also inconclusive. Some say that the impact is positive (Anderson & Reeb, 2003; Ang et al., 2000). This is because that CEO belonging to family knows more about the company and has more established social networks than a CEO from out of family. Other studies exhibit negative impact CEO family affiliation of profitability. They argue that affiliation of CEO is not to ensure profit because they are hesitant to take risk. We make argue that:

H₄: *CEO's family affiliation has negative effect on the profitability of PSX listed companies.*

Managerial Ownership and Profitability

Bhagat and Bolton (2008) in their study found managerial ownership is significantly and positively associated to Profitability. Contrarily, Rehman and Shah (2013) concluded significant negatively effect of managers' ownership on firms' Profitability. Their study argued as if managers are given enough ownership proportion they will expropriate shareholders' interests. Similar findings were revealed by Kamran and Shah (2014). Manager entrenchment theory also argues the same that managers capitalize resources and use them for their own benefit instead of increasing firm's value (Shleifer & Vishny, 1989).

H₅: *Managerial ownership has negative effect on the profitability of PSX listed companies.*

This detailed review of literature also suggests another hypothesis as under:

H₆: *Corporate governance internal mechanism effects the profitability of financial and non-financial firms in same*

Research Methodology

This is a longitudinal study and is based on panel data. This study considers all registered non- financial companies on PSX as a population. The sample is comprised of 266 companies from non-financial sector. To facilitate comparison 29 financial companies were also included.

Independent Variables

Board Independence

It is determined as under

$$BOID_{it} = \frac{\text{independent Directors}_{it}}{\text{total directors}_{it}}$$

Board size

To measure Board size following formula is used

$$BOADSIZE_{it} = \text{total number of directors}_{it}$$

Audit Committee Size

This is found as under

$$AUDITSIZ_{it} = \text{total number of directors on audit committee}_{it}$$

Family Affiliation of CEO

It is measured through dummy variable is used (1 for family affiliation & 0 if not).

Managerial Ownership

This is determined by following formula

$$MANOWN_{i,t} = \frac{\text{number of shares with executives and directors}_{i,t}}{\text{total number of shares}_{i,t}}$$

Dependent Variables

Firm Performance

ROA & ROE are two determinants of firm's performance, shown as under

$$ROA_{it} = \frac{\text{pretax income}_{it}}{\text{Total Asset}_{it}}$$

$$ROE_{it} = \frac{\text{pretax income}_{it}}{\text{Total Equity}_{it}}$$

Control Variables

Three control variables have also been used which are defined as under.

Firm Size

It is determined as under:

$$\text{Firm Size}_{it} = \ln(\text{Book Value of Assets}_{it})$$

Leverage

The other control variable used is leverage and defined as below

$$\text{Leverage}_{it} = \frac{\text{Total Debts}_{it}}{\text{Total Assets}_{it}}$$

Liquidity

Following measure of liquidity is used

$$\text{Cash Ratio}_{it} = \frac{\text{cash and cash equivalent}_{it}}{\text{Current Liabilities}_{it}}$$

Model Specification

Following two models were used to test the suggested relationships. Model 1 is for non-financial companies, model 2 is for financial companies:

$$FIRMPFR_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1^*BOID_{it} + \beta_3^*BOADSIZE_{it} + \beta_5^*AUDITSIZ_{it} + \beta_4^*FAMAFF_{it} + \beta_2^*MNOWN_{it} + \beta_6^*CR_{it} + \beta_7^*LEVERAGE_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

$$FIRMPFR_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1^*BOID_{it} + \beta_3^*BOADSIZE_{it} + \beta_5^*AUDITSIZ_{it} + \beta_4^*FAMAFF_{it} + \beta_2^*FIRMSIZE_{it} + F_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

Multiple regression and fixed effect model were used respectively

Results

The relevant results for corporate governance internal mechanism and profitability are shown in table 1. The findings in regard to control variables reflect that they effect profitability statistically significant because cash ratio and leverage have positive and negative impact on ROA respectively. These findings about the control variables shows them as important to firm's Profitability.

Regression coefficient for board independence positive and statistically insignificance related to ROA. For board size it is positive and significant. Remaining dimensions of corporate governance are negatively but significantly related to ROA as shown by regression coefficient. The regression coefficient for managerial ownership negatively and statistically insignificantly related to firm performance measure which is return on assets. The table also reflects the effect of time and industry dummies (negative and insignificant and positive and significant respectively).

Table 1. Results of Regression

Linear	Regression	Nos. of observations	=	1926
		F(24, 1900)	=	27.33
		Prob> F	=	0
		R ²	=	.287
ROA	Coefficient	Standard Error	T	Prob. T
MNOWN	-0.0043	0.0126699	-0.33	0.733
AUITSIZ	-0.0083	0.003882	-2.140	0.031
BOID	0.00296	0.00677	0.440	0.661
CR	0.14555	0.023990	6.070	-
Leverage	-0.1582	0.010718	-14.760	-
FAMAFF	-0.0205	0.004760	-4.320	-
BOADSIZE	0.005156	0.001699	3.030	0.001
T ₁	-	(omitted)		
T ₂	-0.0116	0.01393	-0.840	0.402
T ₃	-0.0076	0.012323	-0.620	0.536
T ₄	-0.0010	0.012370	-0.080	0.934
T ₅	-0.0075	0.013943	-0.540	0.586
T ₆	0.00519	0.011722	0.440	0.657
T ₇	-0.0216	0.011780	-1.840	0.065
T ₈	-0.0121	0.011442	-1.060	0.287
T ₉	-0.0168	0.01126	-1.490	0.134
T ₁₀	-0.0057	0.01168	-0.490	0.624
T ₁₁	-0.0009	0.011821	-0.080	0.934
T ₁₂	-0.0105	0.011338	-0.930	0.352
T ₁₃	-0.00021	0.011545	-0.020	0.984
T ₁₄	-0.02376	0.011689	-2.020	0.041
Ind ₁	-	(omitted)		
Ind ₂	0.13525	0.022855	5.910	-
Ind ₃	0.09956	0.024514	4.050	-
Ind ₄	0.08693	0.044093	1.960	0.048
lind ₅	0.1180	0.024636	4.780	-
Ind ₆	0.26000	0.032447	8.020	-
_cons	0.01449	0.029490	0.480	0.622

Above control variables seem vital factors for profitability. Coefficient of regression in case of relationship among independent board and ROE is insignificantly negative. The coefficient for BOARDSIZE is in significant and positive relation to profitability. It is negative and significant in case of audit committee size. For managerial ownership regression coefficient is negative and insignificant as related to profitability. Time and industry dummy an insignificant effect over ROE. Similarly mix results for time and industry dummy are shown for return on assets.

Table 2. Regression Results for Board Characteristics Managerial Ownership and Firm's Profitability (ROE)

Linear	regression	Nos. of observation	=	1917
		F(24, 1891)	=	6.45
		Prob F	=	0
		R-squared	=	0.0385
ROE		Std. Err.	T	Prob. t
MNOWN	-0.0052	0.013256	-0.39300288	0.733
AUTSIZ	-0.0240	0.0064711	-3.7123	0
BOID	-0.0091	0.043503	-0.22	0.834
CR	0.58483	0.087796	6.65	0
Leverage	-0.0530	0.072017	-0.75	0.462
FAMAFF	-0.0273	0.0121733	-2.2434	0.002
BOARDSIZE	0.02641	0.01016	2.60	0.008
T ₁	-0.0429	0.097930	-0.43	0.662
T ₂	0	(omitted)		
T ₃	-0.1604	0.099786	-1.62	0.107
T ₄	-0.0356	0.095643	-0.36	0.710
T ₅	-0.1064	0.09026	-1.17	0.237
T ₆	-0.1599	0.105262	-1.53	0.128
T ₇	-0.1535	0.089388	-1.71	0.087
T ₈	-0.1682	0.09745	-1.72	0.083
T ₉	-0.1254	0.099808	-1.25	0.208
T ₁₀	-0.0532	0.10427	-0.52	0.610
T ₁₁	-0.1075	0.099110	-1.08	0.277
T ₁₂	-0.1273	0.092625	-1.39	0.168
T ₁₃	-0.05011	0.090891	-0.56	0.582
T ₁₄	-0.1657	0.089858	-1.83	0.064
Ind ₁	0	(omitted)		
Ind ₂	0.75653	0.535888	1.42	0.157
Ind ₃	0.75855	0.536696	1.42	0.157
Ind ₄	0.57509	0.555650	1.04	0.302
Ind ₅	0.77595	0.535231	1.44	0.146
Ind ₆	0.78522	0.536870	1.45	0.145
_cons	-0.6462	0.54275	-1.18	0.236

The comparison of above two tables reflects the control variables are most important to profitability while the independent variables are of less significance and hence the rejection of first hypothesis of study.

Impact of size of the board over profit was found positively significant and to accept second hypothesis. The audit committee size has inverse relation to profit and to reject the hypothesis. Lastly, the findings conclude the accepting the hypothesis that family affiliation of CEO has inverse effect on firm's profitability. Lastly effect of managerial ownership on profitability is insignificantly negative. Therefore, the hypothesis on association of managerial ownership and profitability has been accept.

Results Financial Firms

Table 3 & 4 show relevant results. In table 3 and 4 show that managerial ownership has significant impact on return on assets and equity. Fixed effect model reflects both determinants of corporate governance as important. Both have positive and significant effect on profitability. Following tables show the relevant results.

Table 3. Corporate Governance and Profitability (ROA)

Fixed-effects (within) regression	Number of obs	=	164	
Group variable: gvkey	Number of groups	=	28	
R-sq: within = 0.0802	Obs per group: min	=	2	
between = 0.0026	Avg	=	5.7	
overall = 0.0021	Max	=	10	
	F(6,127)	=	1.87	
corr(u _i , Xb) = -0.9338	Prob> F	=	0.0924	
ROA	Coef.	Std. Err.	T	P>t
FAMAFF	-0.0096287	0.06311	-0.16	0.878
BOARDSIZE	0.0014617	0.01263	0.13	0.907
AUITSIZ	-0.004939	0.01740	-0.27	0.776
Firmsize	-0.00359	0.01370	-0.25	0.795
MNOWN	-1.6560	0.51785	-3.21	0.003
BOID	-0.038413	0.08102	-0.46	0.637
_cons	0.144781	0.17414	0.831	0.406

sigma_u .30285883

sigma_e .09032881

rho -.9183113 (fraction of variance due tou_i)

F test that all u_i=0: F(28, 128) = 2.69 Prob>F = 0.0001

Table 4. Corporate Governance and Profitability (ROE)

Fixed-effects (within) regression	Number of obs	161		
Group variable: gvkey	Number of groups	29		
R-sq: within = 0.0612	Obs per group: min	2		
between = 0.0025	Avg	5.6		
overall = 0.0027	Max	10		
	F(6,126)	2.37		
corr(u _i , Xb) = -0.8242	Prob> F	0.021		
ROE	Coefficient	Std. Error	T	P>t
FAMAFF	0.436172	0.21084	2.070	0.042
BOARDSIZE	0.031382	0.04218	0.73	0.457
AUITSIZ	-0.075193	0.05809	-1.28	0.197
Firmsize	0.006795	0.04585	0.16	0.881
MNOWN	-3.72523	1.76343	-2.10	0.036
BOID	-0.053244	0.27064	-0.20	0.843
_cons	0.110062	0.58256	0.18	0.84

sigma_u .64938967

sigma_e .30149722

rho .82267023 (fraction of variance due to u_i)

F test that all u_i=0: F(28, 126) = 3.46 Prob > F = 0.0000

Discussion and Conclusion

This research has objective of measuring impact of corporate governance internal mechanism over profitability. Hypothesis of the study states that more independent directors is sign of profit, but no evidence were found of this. Results are steady to studies of Johl et al., (2015) and Sheik & Kareem (2015) and to the stewardship theory (Davis et al., 1997). The hypothesis about the impact of board size was resulted into accepting null hypothesis and align to studies of Anderson et al., (2004), Haider et al (2015) and Johl et al. (2015) and to the resource dependency theory (Johnson et al., 1996). The impact of CEO affiliation and characteristics of board was found to be negative and significant in relation to profitability. This is because CEO from family may lack professional competencies (Lauterbach & Vaninsky, 1999). In the same way the impact of managerial ownership was found negative and not significant (Davis et al., 1997). Lastly, it was also revealed that board characteristics and managerial ownership effect profitability of financial vs non-financial firms differently. Corporate governance mechanism is much important to the profitability of both financial and non-financial companies. The findings are of importance to investors for making investment decisions. This study also sheds some light on the corporate governance structure and its importance in a novel context and hence helps us to understand the importance of a sound corporate governance mechanism.

References

- Al-Mamun, A., Yasser, Q. R., Rahman, M. A., Wickramasinghe, A., & Nathan, T. M. (2014). Relationship between audit committee characteristics, external auditors and economic value added (EVA) of public listed firms in Malaysia. *Corporate Ownership & Control*, 12(1), 899-910.
- Al-Matari, E. M., Al-Swidi, A. K., Fadzil, F. H., & Al-Matari, Y. A. (2012). The impact of board characteristics on firm performance: Evidence from nonfinancial listed companies in Kuwaiti Stock Exchange. *International Journal of Accounting and Financial Reporting*, 2(2), 310-332.
- Anderson, R. C., & Reeb, D. M. (2003). Founding-family ownership and firm performance: evidence from the S&P 500. *The Journal of Finance*, 58(3), 1301-1328.
- Anderson, R. C., Mansi, S. A., & Reeb, D. M. (2004). Board characteristics, accounting report integrity, and the cost of debt. *Journal of accounting and economics*, 37(3), 315-342.
- Ang, J. S., Cole, R. A., & Lin, J. W. (2000). Agency costs and ownership structure. *the Journal of Finance*, 55(1), 81-106.
- Bhagat, S., & Bolton, B. (2008). Corporate governance and firm performance. *Journal of corporate finance*, 14(3), 257-273.
- Davis, J. H., Schoorman, F. D., & Donaldson, L. (1997). Toward a stewardship theory of management. *Academy of Management review*, 22(1), 20-47.
- Haider, N., Khan, N., & Iqbal, N. (2015). Impact of corporate governance on firm Profitability in Islamic Financial Institution. *International Letters of Social and Humanistic Sciences*, 51, 106-110.
- Javed, A. Y., Iqbal, R., & Hasan, L. (2006). Corporate governance and firm performance: evidence from Karachi Stock Exchange [with comments]. *The Pakistan Development Review*, 947-964.
- Johl, S. K., Kaur, S., & Cooper, B. J. (2015). Board characteristics and firm performance: Evidence from Malaysian public listed firms. *Journal of Economics, Business and Management*, 3(2), 239-243.
- Johnson, J. L., Daily, C. M., & Ellstrand, A. E. (1996). Boards of directors: A review and research agenda. *Journal of management*, 22(3), 409-438.
- Kamran, K., & Shah, A. (2014). The impact of corporate governance and ownership structure on earnings management practices: Evidence from listed companies in Pakistan.
- Khan, A., & Awan, S. H. (2012). Effect of board composition on firm's performance: A case of Pakistani listed companies. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*, 3(10), 853-863.
- Lauterbach, B., & Vaninsky, A. (1999). Ownership structure and firm performance: Evidence from Israel. *Journal of Management and Governance*, 3(2), 189-201.
- Liu, Y., Miletkov, M. K., Wei, Z., & Yang, T. (2015). Board independence and firm performance in China. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 30, 223-244.
- Ojeka, S., Iyoha, F. O., & Obigbemi, I. F. (2014). effectiveness of audit committee and firm Profitability in Nigeria: an empirical analysis. *Journal of Accounting and Auditing: Research & Practice*.
- Rehman, A., & Shah, S. Z. A. (2013). Board independence, ownership structure and firm performance: Evidence from Pakistan. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*, 5(3), 832-845.
- Rehmans, R., & Mangla, I. U. (2010). Corporate governance and performance of financial institutions in Pakistan: A comparison between conventional and Islamic banks in Pakistan. *The Pakistan Development Review*, 461-475.
- Shakir, R. (n.d.), "Board Size, Board Composition and Property Firm Performance".
- Sheikh, N. A., & Kareem, S. (2015). The impact of board structure, ownership concentration, and CEO remuneration on performance of Islamic commercial banks in Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Islamic Research*, 15, 49-59.
- Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1989). Management entrenchment: The case of manager-specific investments. *Journal of financial economics*, 25(1), 123-139.