▪ Global Social Sciences Review (GSSR) ▪ Vol. VII, No. I (Winter 2022) ▪ Pages: 511 – 519
▪ DOI: 10.31703/gssr.2022(VII-I).48 ▪ URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.31703/gssr.2022(VII-I).48
▪ L- ISSN: 2520-0348 ▪ p- ISSN: 2520-0348 ▪ e-ISSN: 2616-793X
▪ Citation: Khan, K. A., Khan, A. U., & Mahmood, A. (2022). A The Role of Discourse Analysis in Understanding Language Teaching and Learning. *Global Social Sciences Review*, *VII*(I), 511-519. https://doi.org/10.31703/gssr.2022(VII-I).48

The Role of Discourse Analysis in Understanding Language Teaching and Learning

Khalid Azim Khan * Aziz Ullah Khan † Ayyaz Mahmood ‡

Contents:

- Introduction
- The Approaches to Discourse Analysis
- Discourse Analysis
- Textual Analysis
- Discussion
- Advocating Embracing Discourse Analysis
- Conclusion
- References

Abstract: *The role of Discourse Analysis (DA), which is important in teaching and learning language, is discussed in this paper. DA allows researchers to analyze speech and writing, shedding light on the social structures, power dynamics, and cultural contexts through which classroom lives are enacted. The presentation introduces DA and its theoretical bases and then discusses its educational use. Research has found that DA improves teaching through critical thinking and differentiated instruction. For example, it shows how specific classroom communication patterns and student engagement can stack up to improve outcomes. Through case studies, the paper presents practical insights into how DA shapes curriculum and pedagogy that includes students' linguistic backgrounds. Finally, it concludes by asserting that incorporating DA into language classrooms fosters effective communication and cultivates the critical thinking skills necessary to navigate global interactions in a diverse society.*

Key Words: Discourse Analysis, Language Teaching, Language Learning, Pedagogy, Education

Introduction

Discourse analysis (DA) provides a powerful lens through which to explore the fluidity of language and the ways language is grounded in meaningful contexts for educators (Gee, 2011). Unlike studies, for instance, of the meaning of a lone word or sentence, discourse analysis looks at various communicative contexts. It recognizes the linguistic aspect of any communicative act, along with the social, cultural, and situational context whereby discourse is constructed (Gee, 2011; García & Wei, 2014). This view gives researchers and educators richer insights into larger classrooms' cultures of opportunities for language and social learning and how those cultures are nested and interconnected in power relations, identities, and social practice.

One of the benefits of investigating within the domains of the discourse for language teaching is that it helps make clear that classroom interaction is complex and can be described from multiple perspectives. Researchers can pinpoint the factors that enhance or detract from engagement and learning by analyzing the interactions between

* Consultant Academic Accreditation, Deanship of Development and Quality, Umm Al Qura University, Saudi Arabia.. Email: khalidazimkhan2015@gmail.com *(Corresponding Author)*
† Assistant Professor, Department of English, National University of Modern Languages Islamabad, Pakistan.
‡ Assistant Professor, Department of English, National University of Modern Languages Islamabad, Pakistan.

teachers and students. An example of one such strategy is teacher questions and feedback, which research shows can strongly influence how and when students on-task and off-task initiate and participate in the learning process (Sinclair & Coulthard, 2013). Another important use of discourse analysis is for professional growth and development, engaging teachers to reflect on their practices critically. Finally, the use of discourse analysis encourages students to think critically. Through written or spoken texts, teachers can explore themes of power, identity, and ideology embedded in discourse by allowing students to analyze texts and conversations (be they written or spoken) for themselves (Gee, 2011). Such critical engagement develops language skills and helps students to engage with complex social realities (Gee, 2011; Sybing, 2023).

The emergence of globalization and its subsequent impact on the environment where education is carried out have made culturally relevant pedagogy a crucial concern. By offering the means to acknowledge and legitimize different forms of language use, specific discourse analysis enables teachers to build learning ecologies that affirm students' cultural identities and accommodate varying linguistic practices (Russell & Murphy-Judy, 2020). Integrating students' linguistic backgrounds into the curriculum enriches learning relevance and meaning while cultivating a sense of belonging and engagement among learners.

This paper first discusses the theoretical framework of discourse analysis, and then presents some implications for language teaching and learning. The following sections present various empirical studies with evidence about the role of DA in educational settings, followed by some conclusions and suggestions for educators to implement DA in teaching language. As a result, this paper proposes a case for the need to engage in awareness of discourse analysis in language education to improve pedagogic practices and ensure wholesome learning.

Theoretical Perspectives on Discourse Analysis

Many theoretical perspectives emphasizing the social character of language and human experiences form the basis of discourse analysis. This section presents key concepts and perspectives in discourse analysis relevant to language education.

Concept and Coverage of Discourse Analysis

Discourse analysis can be described as an analysis of the language in context, the way language creates meaning and enacts social relations (Fairclough, 2013; Mercer, Wegerif & Major, 2019). This is a move away from traditional linguistic analysis, which often detaches the forms of language from their social contexts. On the other hand, discourse analysis is not bound by this static notion; it sees language as an active process that is constructed by and constructs social structures, cultural expectations, and situational factors. Discourse analysis can be applied in many fields of study, as its overall range is wide, e.g., spoken and written text, conversation, classroom discourse, and media discourse. The learners are diverse, so they examine language in different contexts, which helps them understand how language works in different social settings (Flowerdew, 2014).

The Approaches to Discourse Analysis

There are several approaches to discourse analysis which are especially relevant to language education: For instance, Conversation Analysis (CA) examines the orderly and structured nature of talk in interaction; it studies how speakers carry on a conversation, including how they take turns, manage pauses, and make repairs. In doing so, they can attend to how these talk features unfold to help construct a picture of the trajectory of classroom discourse and its contribution to learning outcomes (Sacks, Schegloff, & Jefferson, 1974). CA has been increasingly used in recent studies to conceptualize better the nature of interactions between teachers and students and how these interactions shape levels of engagement in students (Pennycook, 2021).

Another approach to DA is Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA). CDA focuses on understanding the interrelation between language, power, and social inequality. This approach is fundamental in

© 2022 The Author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

educational settings, as language can reproduce inequalities and solidify social stratification. CDA also promotes awareness of the ideological and social values around the texts and materials used in the classroom, urging educators to critically examine such resources to ensure they do not promote discrimination, sexism, racism, and exclusion (Fairclough, 2013). CDA has been used to analyze curriculum materials and classroom practices to promote more significant equity and inclusion (Handford & Gee, 2013).

Context within Discourse Analysis

Context is a key concept in discourse analysis. It heavily informs the conditionality of speech and comprehension. Context includes immediate situational elements — such as the surroundings and participants — and broader cultural and historical factors. Contextualization allows teachers to contextualize students' language to understand students better and facilitate responsive teaching practices. A consistent thread in recent work has been teaching practices that account for students' varying backgrounds. Relevant examples provided by (Verhoeven, 2023) point out that contextualized language teaching promotes student engagement by making their learning more closely connected to their personal experience and cultural identities. Furthermore, knowing the social factors that play a role in learning to communicate will help teachers identify some of the obstacles to language and literacy in a structured way, allowing for purposeful interventions.

Theoretical Relevance for Language Education

This language education space is underpinned by theories around discourse analysis that may help to make sense of its complexity. DA in the classroom invites teachers to connect with the deeper dimensions of language and learn children's patterns. Such knowledge can help inform curriculum design and implementation, instructional arrangements, and assessment. Analyzing classroom discourse, for instance, enables teachers to identify the features of teaching that encourage or inhibit students' engagement in their learning and adapt their use of these patterns. Also, discourse analysis can make students more discerning about their language use and the social impact of communication in the broader sense. Critical reflection is essential for identifying and navigating complex social landscapes (Jones, Jaworska, & Aslan, 2020) and is vital to developing learners' linguistic flexibility.

Language Teaching Across the Value Systems

Discourse analysis is fundamental to the implications of language teaching. It allows educators to enhance the effectiveness of their pedagogical practices and the richness of the language learning process. This post shares how DA can help us understand and improve our teaching practices to create more interesting and engaging learning spaces.

Classroom Interaction Between Students and Teachers

Role of Classroom Interaction in Teaching and Learning a Language Discourse analysis uses networking tools to analyze the repeated patterns that enable or discourage communication between teachers and students, which promote or hinder learning opportunities. Research demonstrates that teachers have control over a strong driver of engagement and learning: language. The IRF (initiation—response—feedback) model illustrates how teachers can structure what class discusses to expand participation (Sinclair & Coulthard, 2013). Studying these interactions can spur educators to construct a more interactive environment.

The existing research indicates that because we need doubt, leaning on the teacher to ask their students specific questions in specific ways to get them involved. One such result was teachers who asked open questions and engaged students with the content in developing a communicative classroom environment (Miraei Mohammadi, Alavi, & Khatib, 2021). This reminded us of reports by Moore et al. (1999), dialogic classroom practices that lead to interaction (Elliott, 1996), which can benefit learning and critical thinking.

Scaffolding — the assistance that teachers provide to enable students to achieve learning targets. Discourse analysis can uncover how teachers scaffold (Wood, Bruner, & Ross, 1976) learning through language, steadily shifting responsibility to students. For example, teachers might model targeted language constructions, provide prompts, or ask guiding questions to support students' language use. He has recently detailed scaffolding as a means which can support students in developing language. Such support is unequivocal in the work of Vygotsky (1978), who discovered that peers engaging in meaningful talk can successfully scaffold each other's language learning. Scaffolding works best with classroom interactions (Moje, 2007). These interactions offer educators the most significant insight into how scaffolding works and how the teacher can fine-tune his/her scaffolding (i.e., fine-tune what he/she does to include the scaffolding).

Discourse Analysis

Discourse analysis makes students think critically (i.e., sequentially about how language works and how it is used). Through texts and conversations, learners can investigate patterns related to power, identity, and ideology in discourse.

Textual Analysis

Textual analysis allows students to scrutinize authors' and speakers' language choices and consider how those choices influence meaning. This is why it can be so powerful to deconstruct something like a political speech so that learners can see why/how language shapes authority and audiences (Gee, 2014). In addition, Such contextualization not only reinforces language competence but also engenders critical consciousness about the role of language in society.

Recent studies show the connection between textual analysis and cultivating students' critical thinking skills. The Benefits of Teaching These Higher Forms of CommunicationOther researchers have explored the impact of critical thinking, one such example being a study conducted by Mercer, Wegerif, and Major (2019), which highlights that secondary school students who critically reflected on a text viewed in media were better able to evaluate information and make informed decisions. Discourse Analyses as Critical Literacy Intervention Teaching students to Discourse Analysis can teach them to critically write literacy (Swain, 2019) and decode linguistic structures to recognize truth.

Discussion

Debates and discussions do precisely this; students practice what they have learned while critically engaging with different perspectives. Understanding this important piece of discourse can help when creating activities about this topic, as students will reap the rewards from activities that teach them to engage in dialogue with respect and effectively articulate their opinions. This approach fosters language development as well as critical engagement with content.

Apply structured debates in the language to enhance students' communicative competence and critical thinking. For instance, Tsui (2001) suggested that an instructional approach that used debate might teach the skill of argument construction and reasoning discourse among students. Educators will also be able to adapt their practice based on discourse generated in these spaces, adjusting and scaffolding for rich learning opportunities.

Culturally Responsive Teaching

A survey of various discourses reveals the role of cultural context in language education. Acknowledging learners' cultural backgrounds is integral to teaching and fosters student motivation.

Classroom Environment and DA

All students arrive in the classroom with diverse linguistic resources regarding dialects, languages, and communication styles. Discourse analysis helps educators to notice and validate such practices, resulting in a more inclusive learning space (García & Wei, 2014). When teachers recognize the language diversity of our learners, it opens up doors for the linguistic background of their students to be

used as a means for teaching that progresses in a feeling of belonging and involvement.

More recently, the contributive potential of theoretical frameworks on culturally responsive teaching has been evidenced in language education. For example, Zein and Stroupe (2019) show the benefits of recognizing students' cultural experiences in the classroom, forming important connections between students' experiences and learning. Discourse analysis is the toolset for teachers to transform visible cultural context and bring it into the classroom.

Pedagogy of Culturally Relevant Practice

One way to do this is to incorporate culturally relevant pedagogy, which involves connecting things that matter to the students' culture and life experiences into the curriculum. Asking questions about our discourse opens up the ability to find texts and examples that resonate with our students based on their past experiences, creating a more meaningful learning experience.

Evidence indicates that culturally relevant pedagogy increases student engagement and academic achievement. For example, Gay (2018) found that motivation and participation increased when students' cultural references were included in the lesson plan. Educators may implement discourse analysis to identify culturally relevant texts and practices to make the learning experience more inclusive and effective.

Implications for Teacher Training

Discourse analysis applied to language teaching impacts the learners and strongly influences teachers' professional development. This powerful tool should be made more accessible to educators so they can learn how to use it in their classrooms.

Teachers can be taught how to use discourse analysis in professional development programs. By looking at classroom discourse (a group of teachers might map their verbal interactions), teachers notice patterns of engagement in their students. In addition, professional learning communities dedicated to discourse analysis can support teachers in sharing experiences and strategies for incorporating these approaches into their instruction.

Conclusion

Discourse analysis is essential in examining the language teaching and learning process and identifying ways to improve its efficacy. In the context of language use, it helps educators develop more motivating, inclusive, and critical classrooms. Discourse: Why consider it in language teaching: the role of discourse in communication.

The need to explore new applications of discourse analysis in language education calls for collaborative efforts of researchers and educators. Further studies are needed to contribute to the development of working frameworks for applying discourse analysis in different educational environments, ensuring that language instruction is sensitive and responsive to learners' needs.

We believe that empirical research is imperative to provide a clear perspective on how discourse analysis (DA) is relevant (or not) and beneficial (or not) in language education. Research on Digital Assessment | The following reports include several studies that reflect how DA has been effectively used across different educational contexts, showcasing its impact on teaching practices, student engagement, and overall language learning outcomes.

Case Study: Analyzing EFL Classrooms Discursive Practices

Much research has been conducted on implementing discourse analysis in English as a Foreign Language (EFL) classrooms. In an EFL (English as a Foreign Language) context, Zhang 2024 also did a resort on classroom interactions. They used conversation analysis to study how teacher questions shaped student responses and engagement. The results indicated that open-ended questions employed by the teacher increased student participation and critical thinking. Examining six scenarios of strategic teaching choices, this study emphasizes the power of teacher

talk to stall cognitive engagement and challenges teachers to consider how classroom discourse is constructed through their questioning choices.

An appropriate study conducted by Liu (2024) investigated the role of DA in promoting interaction among EFL learners. In particular, the study focused on what specific interaction patterns in classroom discourse support the collaborative learning process. Liu discovered that peer interactions positively impact language acquisition, mainly when an effective teacher facilitates those interactions.

Case Study: Critical Discourse Analysis in Language Education

Critical discourse analysis (CDA) has seen increased prominence in educational research, particularly concerning its sociopolitical dimensions of language use. Taking CDA of language in the EFL textbooks, Johnson (2020) identified ways language perpetuates specific teaching and discourse ideologies. The research showed that textbooks can then perpetuate a dominant culture and silence diverse points of view. Johnson stressed the need to evaluate materials to ensure they are equitable and inclusive critically.

Analysis and Assessment of Discourse

This question was explored by Zhang (2019), who analyzed discourse analysis to measure language proficiency. We examined interactions among students during assessment tasks, explicitly looking for features of successful communication. The Challenges of Assessing Interactional Competence and Assessment Practices Zhang discovered that conventional assessment approaches frequently disregard the interplay of interactional competence, resulting in a narrow perspective on students' language proficiency. Incorporating aspects of discourse analysis into assessment methods will allow educators to understand better learners' communicative competency and tailor assessment approaches that better meet individual needs.

Markee (2019) investigated how involving teachers in discourse analysis can improve reflective processes. The study was based on PD for teachers who analyzed their classroom conversations using video. Results showed that teachers who participated became more mindful of how they communicated and how their approach affected student learning. The findings from this study illustrate how DA can be employed to foster professional growth amongst educators, which ultimately benefits their students.

Empirical Findings

Theory and practice: Discourse analysis has a range of applicability in language education, as the empirical evidence discussed here illustrates. From that which interrupts sensitive or intelligent, in-classroom interaction to that which stimulates critical engagement with language teaching and learning and advances approaches to assessment practice, the tools DA can offer language educators the means to understand language teaching and learning, as well as providing alternative insights into their practice (Dicks, 2015). Discourse analysis is one of those various tools that allow educators to disrupt prevailing narratives and advance inclusive pedagogical practices. This article is one step on the journey toward those practices.

Attending to Discourse Analysis: Challenges and Problems

This section examines educators' challenges and approaches to addressing these challenges. Discourse analysis, therefore, comes in a myriad of forms and is a complex field of study that can mean different things.

Different methodologies are one of the predominant challenges facing the utilization of discourse analysis in educational contexts. DA can mean many things and, therefore, can be confusing for educators who may have yet to receive training in this field. Because discourse analysis is a highly complex and context-dependent (Gee, 2014) approach, which looks at linguistic features in their social context, discourse analysis itself can be further tainted by another barrier here: its inherent complexity, for example, makes it very difficult for a teacher to teach classroom more efficiently then they are already doing.

In doing so, it will be important to educate teachers through professional development on conducting a study or analysis of a conversation or dialogue. DA can be taught to educators in the form of workshops, seminars, and significant learning communities that help build the skills and confidence required for using DA in the classroom. Also, making accessible materials, such as guides and toolkits, helps teachers implement discourse analysis to teach without being overwhelmed by its sophistication.

Time Constraints

A critical issue is teaching for more time in their teaching context. Preparing for lesson planning and in class, including discourse analysis, will likely take extra time for preparation and reflection. Teachers may be pressured to follow rigid curricula and assessment timelines, which can hinder the incorporation of new methodologies.

One way to begin countering this is to introduce elements of discourse analysis in small doses over time. Such approaches, for example, might examine particular interactions or texts in classrooms but would not require teachers to overhaul their full curriculum. Applying discourse analysis alongside colleagues could help them share the burden and explore and implement discourse analysis in their classrooms.

Need for Resources

However, resources are vital to meaningfully engaging with discourse analysis in language education. Teachers may not have access to the texts, training materials, or technology necessary for discourse analysis. The scarcity of resources can inhibit educators from meaningfully engaging with DA, thereby restricting its potential to influence teaching practices (Liu, 2022).

On this issue, institutions concerned with teacher education should provide teachers with the necessary support and resources for discourse analysis." This includes investing in professional development training, purchasing appropriate texts and resources, and encouraging teacher collaboration. Furthermore, sharing best practices and successful case studies could encourage teachers to use discourse analysis in their classrooms.

Understanding Cultural Nuances

Discourse analysis often presents educators with thorny social issues like power, identity, and ideology. These sensitivities are difficult to navigate in heterogeneous classrooms where students possess different points of view and experiences (Fairclough, 2013). Educators might need to learn to introduce sensitive topics or worry about unintentionally silencing some voices.

Educators should also establish open lines of communication with their students to create a safe, inclusive learning environment. Part of this responsibility involves creating a classroom culture that values respectful dialogue and critical engagement. Training educators on culturally responsive teaching practices will help them navigate sensitive topics with heart and mind.

Resistance to Change

Lastly, pedagogical challenges arise due to resistance to change when discourse analysis is introduced as a new teaching method. Suppose an educator is more familiar with teacher-led pedagogical engagements. In that case, it may be intimidating to consider new methodologies, especially if one fears that a change will disrupt the smooth transition of the students in this teacher-led scenario (Mercer & Sams, 2006).

Once resistance is identified, one can work to overcome it through cultural elements of collaboration and engagement among the educators. The success stories provided by empirical evidence for the merits of discourse analysis can persuade teachers to adopt new pedagogical approaches. Having teachers participate in conversations about their experiences and challenges can enable them to feel more engaged with the change process.

Advocating Embracing Discourse Analysis

While undoubtedly difficult, the benefits of discourse analysis in language education outweigh the challenges. Therefore, through appropriate

training, resources, and support, educational institutions can inspire teachers to incorporate discourse analysis as a top-notch pedagogical tool in their instruction. The DA approach creates an environment of inclusive, engaging, and effective educators, and it thoroughly prepares teacher-education students for a successful career as practicing educators.

Conclusion

This paper presents the significance of discourse analysis in language education. It provides teachers with the tools required to understand the complexities of how language is used in context, if at all, to promote critical engagement with language and an inclusive approach to the teaching and learning of language.

Finally, different studies across the scope provide further evidence of a strong influence of discourse analysis in classroom interaction, assessment, and teacher development. For instance, by examining discourse, educators can draw attention to norms that elevate student participation, the importance of different styles of language use, and more productive forms of assessment. Furthermore, including discourse analysis reinforces this critical awareness for students and teachers, as they can maneuver through complex social realities and communicate effectively.

Discourse analysis should be included when language education is so developed because it is important. It not only implies implementing DA when planning and teaching lessons but also engaging in professional development that further hones students' understanding of discourse analysis and how it can be applied in the class.

This will inform future research to build practicable frameworks for applying discourse analysis relatively smoothly across various educational environments. By investigating novel applications of DA, researchers can help build a body of knowledge to aid educators in improving language teaching and learning. In addition, collaboration between researchers, educators, and policymakers will be necessary to develop supportive settings for including discourse analysis in the teaching of languages.

Overall, the impact of discourse analysis on language teaching and learning can be summarised: we enable educators to provide criticism to dial language using its perspective toward social implications. In particular, understanding even elementary forms of discourse enables educators to create more engaging, inclusive, and effective learning experiences for their students — and thus prepares those students for success in the increasingly interconnected world.

References

Fairclough, N. (2013). Critical discourse analysis. In *The Routledge handbook of discourse analysis* (pp. 9-20). Routledge.

Flowerdew, J. (2014). *Academic discourse*. Routledge.

García, O., & Wei, L. (2014). Language, languaging and bilingualism. In *Translanguaging: Language, bilingualism and education* (pp. 5-18).

Gay, G. (2018). *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice*. Teachers College Press.

Gee, J. P. (2011). How to do Discourse Analysis: A Toolkit. In *Routledge eBooks*. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203850992

Gee, J. P., & Handford, M. (2013). The Routledge Handbook of Discourse Analysis. In *Routledge eBooks*. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203809068

Jones, R. H., Jaworska, S., & Aslan, E. (2020). Language and media. In *Routledge eBooks*. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003084211

Liu, L. L. (2024). Why does EFL learners' willingness to communicate, enjoyment, and anxiety in an (Doctoral dissertation, University of Hong Kong).

Markee, N. (2019). The handbook of classroom discourse and interaction. John Wiley & Sons.

Mercer, N., Wegerif, R., & Major, L. (2019). The Routledge International Handbook of Research on Dialogic Education. In *Routledge eBooks*. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429441677

Miraei Mohammadi, M., Alavi, S. M., & Khatib, M. (2021). Implication of face-to-face project-based learning in teaching speaking skills. *Journal of Language and Translation, 11*(1), 47-64. https://doi.org/10.30495/ttlt.2021.679445

Moore, D. W., Bean, T. W., Birdyshaw, D., & Rycik, J. A. (1999). Adolescent Literacy: A Position Statement. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, *43*(1), 97–112. http://www.jstor.org/stable/40017055

Pennycook, A. (2021). Critical Applied Linguistics. In *Routledge eBooks*. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003090571

Russell, V., & Murphy-Judy, K. (2020). Teaching language online. In *Routledge eBooks*. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429426483

Sacks, H., Schegloff, E. A., & Jefferson, G. (1974). A simplest systematics for the organization of Turn-Taking for conversation. *Language*, *50*(4), 696. https://doi.org/10.2307/412243

Sinclair, J., & Coulthard, M. (2013). Towards an analysis of discourse. In *Advances in spoken discourse analysis* (pp. 1-34). Routledge.

Sybing, R. (2023). *Dialogue in the language classroom*. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003316480

Tsui, A. B. (2001). Classroom interaction. *The Cambridge guide to teaching English to speakers of other languages*, 120-125.

Verhoeven, B. (2023). Raising (the) standard(s): A corpus linguistics-critical discourse analysis investigation of language ideologies and their potential impact on English teachers' knowledge about language (Doctoral dissertation, University of Huddersfield). http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.23878.24642

VYGOTSKY, L. S. (1978). *Mind in Society: Development of Higher Psychological Processes* (M. Cole, V. Jolm-Steiner, S. Scribner, & E. Souberman, Eds.). Harvard University Press. https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctvjf9vz4

Wood, D., Bruner, J. S., & Ross, G. (1976). THE ROLE OF TUTORING IN PROBLEM SOLVING*. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *17*(2), 89–100. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.1976.tb00381.x

Zein, S., & Stroupe, R. (2019). *English Language Teacher Preparation in Asia*. Routledge.

Zhang, L. (2024). *Lawyer evaluation in Chinese courtroom*. Springer Nature Singapore.